Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Journal of Chromatography B



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chromb



# Development of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay of six antimicrobials in plasma for pharmacokinetic studies in premature infants

Michael Cohen-Wolkowiez<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nicole R. White<sup>b</sup>, Arlene Bridges<sup>c</sup>, Daniel K. Benjamin Jr.<sup>a</sup>, Angela D.M. Kashuba<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Duke University, Duke Clinical Research Institute, P.O. Box 17969, Durham, NC 27715, USA

<sup>b</sup> Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Kerr Hall, Room 3318, CB# 7569, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7360, USA

<sup>c</sup> School of Medicine, University of North Carolina, 520 Brinkhous-Bullitt, CB# 7525, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7525, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 July 2011 Received in revised form 13 September 2011 Accepted 18 September 2011 Available online 22 September 2011

Keywords: Prematurity Neonates Antibiotics Antivirals HPLC Mass spectrometry

# 1. Introduction

# Infections in premature infants (<37 weeks gestation at birth) are common and fatal [1,2]; approximately 20% of very-low-birth-weight (<1500 g) infants suffer from culture-proven sepsis, and 10–20% die [1,2]. To prevent these devastating consequences, more than 90% of infants born <33 weeks gestational age and admitted to the nursery are treated with multiple antimicrobial agents [3]. In spite of the widespread use of antimicrobials in this population, pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in premature infants are exceptionally scarce. This is due, in part, to limitations inherent to trials involving premature infants, including limited blood volume necessary to conduct PK studies and lack of availability of sensitive and specific drug concentration assays.

Over the last two decades, advances in technology have provided tools to measure drug concentration in biological matrices accurately, selectively, and with increased sensitivity. Optimized methods using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and the incorporation of mass spectrometry (MS) have resulted in the ability to measure drug concentrations in low (<100  $\mu$ L) plasma

# ABSTRACT

This method provides a simple extraction procedure, as well as a validated, sensitive, and specific liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay for the simultaneous quantification of ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, meropenem, acyclovir, and metronidazole in human plasma. The method was validated over concentration ranges specific for each compound, with a lower limit of quantification of 50–300 ng/mL and a sample volume of 50  $\mu$ L. The method is accurate and precise, with within- and between-day accuracy ranging from 85 to 110% and 92 to 110%, respectively, and within- and between-day precision of 89–111% and 91–109%, respectively. Simplicity, low plasma volume, and high throughput make this method suitable for clinical pharmacokinetic studies in premature infants.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

volume. In addition, given the ability of these instruments to separate compounds efficiently, it is now possible to measure several compounds in the same sample simultaneously [4]. This methodology has been successful in several settings, including measurement of antiretroviral drugs from different drug classes [5] and simultaneous measurements of antimicrobials [6,7]. In premature infants, the multiplex-assay approach is attractive because these patients are often treated with several antimicrobials concomitantly. More importantly, in the setting of clinical trials where each infant receives a different antimicrobial agent, a single multiplex assay increases trial efficiency by measuring drug concentrations of all agents without the need to develop and validate multiple individual assays specific for each drug. Therefore, the purpose of this work was to develop and validate a LC-MS/MS multiplex drug concentration assay for commonly used antimicrobials in premature infants, including ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, meropenem, acyclovir, and metronidazole.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Ampicillin, piperacillin, acyclovir, metronidazole, and dicloxacillin (internal standard [IS]) were purchased from the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 919 668 8812; fax: +1 919 681 9457. E-mail address: michael.cohenwolkowiez@duke.edu (M. Cohen-Wolkowiez).

<sup>1570-0232/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2011.09.031

Individual stock solutions.

Compound	Desired concentration (mg/mL)	Amount (mg) <sup>a</sup>	Diluent amount (DMSO) (mL)
Ampicillin Piperacillin Tazobactam Meropenem Acyclovir Metropidazole	15 15 15 5 5	16.0 15.7 16.2 5.8 5.0 2.5	1 1 1 1 1

<sup>a</sup> Corrected for salt weight where applicable. DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide.

Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Tazobactam and meropenem were purchased from the U.S. Pharmacopeia (Rockville, MD, USA). HPLC-grade chemicals were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Norcross, GA, USA). Purified compressed nitrogen was obtained from Airgas-National Welders (Charlotte, NC, USA). Drug-free pooled plasma was obtained from Biological Specialty Corporation (Colmar, PA, USA). Plasma obtained from whole blood anticoagulated with potassium tri-phosphate EDTA was obtained from Biological Specialty Corporation.

# 2.2. Equipment

Compounds were analyzed with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer API 4000 (Applied Biosystems–ABSciex, Foster City, CA, USA). A Shimadzu solvent delivery system (Columbia, MD, USA), LEAP HTC PAL thermostatted autosampler (Carrboro, NC, USA), Valco switching valve (Houston, TX, USA), and Analyst Software version 1.4.1 (Applied Biosystems–ABSciex, Foster City, CA, USA) run on a Dell desktop computer (operated by Windows XP professional) were used for this method.

# 2.3. Preparation of standards

Individual clear stock solutions of ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, meropenem, acyclovir, and metronidazole were prepared at the following concentrations: ampicillin, piperacillin, and tazobactam 15 mg/mL, meropenem and acyclovir 5 mg/mL, and metronidazole 2.5 mg/mL. Each analyte was accurately weighed and dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) according to Table 1.

The master stock solution was prepared as a composite of all six compounds (0.5 mL each), adjusted to a final concentration of 1,500,000 ng/mL for ampicillin, piperacillin, and tazobactam; 500,000 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 250,000 ng/mL for metronidazole, by 2.0 mL of DMSO. This master stock standard was used to prepare seven intermediate composite stock solutions: ampicillin and piperacillin (1,500,000; 750,000; 300,000; 150,000; 30,000; 150,000; 300,000; 500,000; 100,000; 500,000; 100,000; 500,00

and 1000 ng/mL); and metronidazole (250,000; 125,000; 50,000; 25,000; 5000; 2500; and 500 ng/mL) in DMSO.

Plasma working calibration solutions at 150,000, 75,000, 30,000, 15,000, 3000, 1500, and 300 ng/mL for ampicillin and piperacillin; 75,000, 30,000, 15,000, 3000, 1500, 300, and 150 ng/mL for tazobactam; 50,000, 25,000, 10,000, 5000, 1000, 500, and 100 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 25,000, 12,500, 5000, 2500, 500, 250, and 50 ng/mL for metronidazole were prepared by diluting the intermediate solutions in human drug-free plasma in a ratio of 1:9.

From the master and intermediate stock solutions, quality control (QC) intermediate stock solutions were prepared in DMSO at concentrations of 9000, 600,000, and 1,200,000 ng/mL for ampicillin and piperacillin; 4500, 180,000, and 600,000 ng/mL for tazobactam; 3000, 200,000, and 400,000 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 1500, 100,000, and 200,000 ng/mL for metronidazole. Plasma working QC samples of 900, 60,000, and 120,000 ng/mL for ampicillin and piperacillin; 450, 18,000, and 60,000 ng/mL for tazobactam; 300, 20,000, and 40,000 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 150, 10,000, and 20,000 ng/mL for metronidazole were prepared by diluting the QC intermediate solutions in human drug-free plasma in a ratio of 1:9.

# 2.4. Internal standard preparation

Dicloxacillin (1 mg) was weighed and dissolved in DMSO to achieve a final concentration of 1.0 mg/mL (stock solution). The internal standard working solution was prepared by diluting 0.3 mL of this solution in 99.7 mL of acetonitrile to achieve a final concentration of 3000 ng/mL.

# 2.5. Samples and pre-treatment

This method was used to measure antimicrobial concentrations in clinical samples collected from premature infants at prespecified time points or scavenged from the clinical laboratory after processing for clinical care. Sample collection ( $\sim$ 200–1000 µL, heparinized or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] tubes) occurred under an investigational protocol approved by the institutional review board at participating sites and after informed consent was obtained from caregivers of study participants. Blood samples at pre-specified time points were collected and kept on ice after collection for a maximum of 15 min. Blood plasma was separated by centrifugation for 10 min. Plasma samples were transferred to a -80 °C temperature-monitored freezer for storage until analysis. Prior to extraction, all plasma samples were brought to room temperature and then gently mixed.

# 2.6. The extraction procedure

On the day of analysis,  $200 \,\mu$ L of chilled (left in the refrigerator for  $20 \,\text{min}$ ) internal standard was placed into a  $2.0 \,\text{mL}$  labeled

#### Table 2

Optimal precursor and product ions and instrument parameters by compound.

Molecular weight (g/mol)	Ionization mode	Precursor ion	Production	Collision gas	Collision energy (eV)
349.40	Positive	350.1	160.1	10	20
517.55	Positive	518.2	143.2	10	25
300.29	Negative	299.0	137.9	10	-20
383.46	Positive	384.1	141.1	10	25
225.20	Positive	226.1	152.1	10	18
171.153	Positive	172.1	128.1	10	20
470.32	Positive	470.1	160.1	10	20
470.32	Negative	468.0	326.8	10	-20
	Molecular weight (g/mol) 349.40 517.55 300.29 383.46 225.20 171.153 470.32 470.32	Molecular weight (g/mol)Ionization mode349.40Positive517.55Positive300.29Negative383.46Positive225.20Positive171.153Positive470.32Positive470.32Negative	Molecular weight (g/mol) Ionization mode Precursor ion   349.40 Positive 350.1   517.55 Positive 518.2   300.29 Negative 299.0   383.46 Positive 384.1   225.20 Positive 226.1   171.153 Positive 172.1   470.32 Positive 470.1	Molecular weight (g/mol)Ionization modePrecursor ionProduction349.40Positive350.1160.1517.55Positive518.2143.2300.29Negative299.0137.9383.46Positive384.1141.1225.20Positive226.1152.1171.153Positive172.1128.1470.32Positive470.1160.1470.32Negative468.0326.8	Molecular weight (g/mol)Ionization modePrecursor ionProductionCollision gas349.40Positive350.1160.110517.55Positive518.2143.210300.29Negative299.0137.910383.46Positive384.1141.110225.20Positive226.1152.110171.153Positive172.1128.110470.32Positive470.1160.110

IS = internal standard.

conical plastic Eppendorf tube, followed by 45  $\mu$ L of plasma and 5  $\mu$ L of DMSO (for blank and patient samples) or by 50  $\mu$ L of spiked plasma (for calibrators and QC samples). The DMSO was added to the blank and patient samples to compensate for the DMSO added to the plasma working calibration solutions. The solutions were vortex-mixed for 15 min and centrifuged at 15,600 g at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant portion was transferred into a 96-insert holder with 0.7 mL glass inserts (Q Glass, NJ, USA). The inserts on the holder were tightly sealed with a silicone 96-insert cover.

# 2.7. LC-MS/MS analysis

Ampicillin, piperacillin, acyclovir, meropenem, and metronidazole were analyzed in positive mode. Tazobactam was analyzed in negative mode during a separate injection run from the same glass insert. Dicloxicillin was used as internal standard for both positive and negative analyses. The injection volume was  $4 \mu L$ .

Chromatography was achieved by using a reverse-phase C18 Aquasil column ( $50 \text{ mm} \times 2.1 \text{ mm}$  internal diameter,  $5 \mu \text{m}$  particle size; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) with a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min for analysis in positive mode and a C18 Ultra Aqueous column (50 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm internal diameter, 3  $\mu$ m particle size; Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA) with a flow rate of 0.35 mL/min for analysis in negative mode. In both analyses, mobile phase A consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water, and mobile phase B consisted of 0.1% formic acid in methanol. The chromatographic separation of analytes was performed with gradient elution of increasing mobile phase B (0% hold until 0.7 min, 0-15% from 0.7 to 1 min, 15-100% from 1 to 4 min, 100% hold from 4 to 4.5 min, 0% from 4.5 to 6 min for positive mode; 0% hold until 0.7 min, 0-100% from 0.7 to 2.5 min, 100% hold from 2.5 to 3.5 min, 0% from 3.5 to 5 min for negative mode). Flow was diverted to waste for the first 0.7 min and after 4 min. Total run time was 6 and 5 min for positive and negative mode, respectively.

MS/MS analysis was performed on a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer API 4000 (Applied Biosystems–ABSciex, Foster City, CA, USA) operated with electrospray ionization (TurboV source using the electrospray probe). Ionspray voltage and turbo heater temperature were kept at 2500 V (–2000 V for tazobactam) and 500 °C, respectively. Compound-specific instrument parameters were optimized for each transition (Table 2) to obtain the most robust signal.

# 2.8. Linearity, limit of quantification, and limit of detection

Linearity was assessed using five calibration curves analyzed on separate days. For validation, each point on the calibration curve was run in duplicate (two separate extractions), and the curves were constructed by calculating the peak area ratios of each compound to the internal standard and plotting these against the nominal concentration of the sample. Back-calculated calibration concentrations were determined using several models. The calibration curve with the best accuracy and precision throughout the curve range was considered the best fit. Quadratic regression of the ratio of compound to internal standard concentration (x) versus peak area ratio of compound to internal standard (y) using a 1/(x)weighting scheme was used for calculations because it provided the best fit to the data.

The upper limit of quantification (ULOQ) was defined as the highest standard concentration for which both the relative standard deviation and the percent deviation from the nominal concentration were less than 15% [8]. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was defined as the lowest concentration for which both the relative standard deviation and the percent deviation from the

summary of standard c	urve, retention times	i, and extractio	n efficiency.								
Compound	Range (ng/mL)	r <sup>2</sup>	Quadratic coefficient	SD	Slope	SD	Intercept	SD	Retention time min	Extraction efficiency%	Mean deviation %
Ampicillin	300-150,000	0.9981	-1.6842E-10	1.9132E-10	2.3660E-04	7.4519E-05	-5.4400E-02	1.7704E-02	1.76	97.33	0.10
Piperacillin	300-150,000	0.9984	-1.3646E-09	5.2397E-10	7.2780E-04	1.9669E-04	-8.1600E-02	1.5208E-02	2.32	97.66	0.03
Tazobactam	150-75,000	0.9986	-4.3600E-10	5.4385E-11	1.5160E-04	2.0748E-05	-4.1740E-04	2.5241E-03	2.61	94.66	0.20
Meropenem	100-50,000	0.9974	-4.8644E-11	1.4345E-10	1.7510E-04	5.3558E-05	-3.0180E-02	8.3174E-03	1.72	77.33	0.70
Acyclovir	100-50,000	0.9966	-5.4780E-10	2.7185E-10	3.3120E-04	5.7160E-05	-1.8948E-02	1.4136E-02	1.59	83.00	1.40
Metronidazole	50-25,000	0.9972	-1.5890E-09	2.3465E-09	6.9140E-04	6.6114E-04	-1.4320E-02	1.7062E-02	1.77	97.66	0.10
Dicloxacillin (IS)									2.82	92.24	

IS = internal standard; SD = standard deviation.

**Table 3** 

## Table 4 Matrix effects.

	Plasma matri	х					
	K3 EDTA	K3 EDTA	K3 EDTA	K3 EDTA	K3 EDTA	Sodium heparin	Sodium heparin
Lot #	1	2	3	4	5	1	2
Ampicillin							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	20,567	18,833	20,133	19,367	18,567	18,533	17,833
% deviation	14.26	4.63	11.85	7.59	3.15	2.96	-0.93
Piperacillin							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	18,733	17,667	18,333	17,733	19,567	17,633	17,467
% deviation	4.07	-1.85	1.85	-1.48	8.70	-2.04	-2.96
Tazobactam							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	18,133	18,933	18,300	19,000	17,767	17,500	18,133
% deviation	0.74	5.19	1.67	5.56	-1.30	-2.78	0.74
Meropenem							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	6722	5989	7533	6233	6353	5511	5756
% deviation	12.04	-0.19	25.56	3.89	5.89	-8.15	-4.07
Acyclovir							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	7289	6089	6756	6189	6927	6444	6056
% deviation	21.48	1.48	12.59	3.15	15.44	7.41	0.93
Metronidazole							
Mean concentration (ng/mL)	3261	3228	3222	3144	3250	3089	3011
% deviation	8.70	7.59	7.41	4.81	8.33	2.96	0.37

K3 EDTA = potassium tri-phosphate ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.

nominal concentration were less than 20%. The detection limit was defined as signal to noise ratio of 3:1.

# 2.9. Matrix effects

Seven different lots from two separate plasma matrices (two lots sodium heparin and five lots potassium tri-phosphate EDTA) were spiked in triplicate, extracted, and analyzed as separate samples. The following compound concentrations were tested: 18,000 ng/mL for ampicillin, piperacillin, and tazobactam; 6000 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 3000 ng/mL for metronidazole. Calculated concentrations for each extracted lot were compared to theoretical concentrations. In addition, the method's specificity was tested by screening the seven different human blank plasma lots for drugs and internal standard.

# *2.10. Accuracy, precision, and recovery (extraction efficiency)*

Accuracy and precision of the analytical method was quantified using four concentrations of QC samples (including LLOQ) run six times (six separate extractions) in sequence on five different days (total of 30 replicates for each concentration). In addition, accuracy and precision of diluted samples were determined. Dilutions (1:1, 1:3, and 1:9 ratios) of a highly concentrated solution (300,000 ng/mL for ampicillin, piperacillin, and tazobactam; 100,000 ng/mL for meropenem and acyclovir; and 50,000 ng/mL for metronidazole) were performed with human plasma. The calculated concentrations were compared to the nominal concentrations.

Recovery (extraction efficiency) of the six compounds was determined with QC samples (all three QC concentration levels)

## Table 5

Summary of accuracy and precision.

Compound	Concentration (ng/mL)	Intra-assay		Inter-assay	
		Accuracy (%)	CV (%)	Accuracy (%)	CV (%)
Ampicillin	300	100.33	4.36	101.49	6.18
	900	96.29	5.20	95.37	6.97
	60,000	107.01	2.85	106.62	3.98
	120,000	98.00	4.03	98.19	5.26
Piperacillin	300	107.69	2.99	107.94	6.35
	900	99.00	5.43	99.54	6.49
	60,000	102.82	3.72	103.82	5.65
	120,000	100.64	7.04	101.25	8.20
Tazobactam	150	98.09	4.63	99.16	6.75
	450	110.39	4.32	109.53	5.38
	18,000	104.24	2.63	104.54	5.42
	60,000	99.71	2.29	99.66	7.02
Meropenem	100	101.96	5.40	103.23	7.81
	300	94.10	5.91	94.99	7.50
	20,000	107.59	3.22	107.63	4.22
	40,000	99.22	4.65	99.58	6.07
Acyclovir	100	100.78	5.73	100.31	9.06
	300	92.17	5.51	92.43	7.96
	20,000	92.64	4.31	92.72	6.01
	40,000	92.03	6.88	93.44	8.50
Metronidazole	50	102.78	8.42	101.29	9.44
	150	95.24	6.64	96.05	7.73
	10,000	106.31	4.03	107.10	6.11
	20,000	100.08	4.09	100.08	6.62
Mean		100.38	4.76	100.67	6.70

CV = coefficient of variation.

a 🔳 XIC of -MRM (2 pairs): 299.0/137.9 amu from Sample 129 (BB) of patients day 4 NEG.wiff (Turbo Spray)

Max. 170.0 cps.



Fig. 1. Blank plasma sample run in (a) negative (tazobactam) and (b) positive (top to bottom: metronidazole, acyclovir, ampicillin, meropenem, piperacillin) ionization mode.



**Fig. 2.** Lower limit of quantification in (a) negative (top to bottom: tazobactam and dicloxacillin [IS]) and (b) positive (top to bottom: dicloxacillin [IS], metronidazole, acyclovir, ampicillin, meropenem, piperacillin) ionization mode. Upper limit of quantification in (c) negative (top to bottom: tazobactam and dicloxacillin [IS]) and (d) positive (top to bottom: dicloxacillin [IS], metronidazole, acyclovir, ampicillin, meropenem, piperacillin) ionization mode. IS = internal standard.



by dividing the peak area of the extracted spiked plasma samples with the peak area of a spiked blank plasma extract.

# 2.11. Stability

To test stability, samples were left at room temperature for 24 h prior to extraction. Stability during sample handling was also verified by subjecting samples to either three freeze-thaw cycles or storage for 24 h in the refrigerator at 4 °C prior to extraction. QC samples at the three concentrations were used for this purpose. Also, stability in the autosampler for 24 h was tested. One-month stability was tested by storing aliquots of QC samples for one month in the -80 °C freezer.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Linearity

The calibration curve was calculated using peak area ratio values at seven standard concentrations. A quadratic regression provided the best fit to the data. The data for the calibration curves (n = 5)are shown in Table 3, along with the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of five standard curve quadratic coefficients, slopes, intercepts, and correlation coefficients  $(r^2)$ . The regression coefficient  $(r^2)$  for all calibration curves was greater than 0.9965. Due to day-to-day variations in the coefficients of the quadratic equation, standards and QCs should be generated for each day of analysis.

# 3.2. Matrix effect

The percent difference from theoretical concentrations for all analytes was less than 15%, except for acyclovir (21% difference, lot #1) and meropenem (26% difference, lot #3) (Table 4). Therefore, the extraction method was suitable for all analytes spiked in these matrices, except for the lots mentioned above. In addition, no response in MS/MS channels used for monitoring both drugs and internal standards was observed in any of the seven human plasma lots.

# 3.3. The limit of quantification

The LLOQ for ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, metronidazole, meropenem, and acyclovir were 300, 300, 150, 50, 100, and 100 ng/mL, respectively, and the ULOQ for ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, metronidazole, meropenem, and acyclovir were 150,000, 150,000, 75,000, 25,000, 50,000, and 50,000 ng/mL, respectively. Chromatograms of blank, LLOQ, and ULOQ samples are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

# 3.4. Accuracy, precision, and recovery

The results of the accuracy and precision experiments at four different quality control levels are shown in Table 5. Within-day accuracy of all analytes ranged from 85 to 110%, with a mean of 101%. Between-day accuracy of all analytes ranged from 92 to 110%, with a mean of 101%. Within- and between-day coefficient of variations varied from 2.1 to 11.4%, and 4.0 to 9.1%, respectively. Overall, results indicate that the method was accurate and precise for each compound. In addition, concentration measurements of partially diluted samples were accurate and precise across all dilution ratios. The greatest percent deviation for all diluted samples was 16.8% for metronidazole when diluted 1:9. The greatest % coefficient of variation for all the diluted samples was 14.1%. The absolute recovery of all compounds at all concentrations was greater than 75% (Table 3).

# 3.5. Stability

Overall, each compound was stable under most tested conditions, with a few exceptions. After 24 h at room temperature, all compound concentrations were within 15% of nominal, with the exception of tazobactam (82% of nominal), piperacillin (75%), and meropenem (84%). In three freeze-thaw cycles, on average all compound concentrations were within 10% of nominal. The low QC for ampicillin deviated 16% from nominal. All compounds were stable when left in the autosampler for 24 h at 8 °C (within 12% of nominal concentration) and all compounds were stable when left in the refrigerator (4 °C) for 24 h, being within 13% of the nominal



Fig. 2. (Continued).

Table 6	
Drug concentrations in patient sample	es.

Subject ID	Sample no.	Piperacillin (ng/mL)	Tazobactam (ng/mL)	Ampicillin (ng/mL)	Meropenem (ng/mL)	Acyclovir (ng/mL)	Metronidazole (ng/mL)
1	1	28,200	1260	346	BLD	BLD	BLD
1	2	49,850	2725	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD
1	3	48,000	2820	438	BLD	BLD	BLD
2	1	24,300	2730	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD
2	2	53,400	6800	BLQ	BLD	BLD	BLD
3	1	42,600	7290	55,400	BLD	BLD	BLD
4	1	52,000	16,200	3330	BLD	BLD	13,700
4	2	47,300	11,900	23,100	BLD	BLD	21,800
4	3	BLD	BLD	BLQ	BLD	BLD	BLD
4	4	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD
4	5	32,750	6450	BLD	BLD	BLD	7050
4	6	85,600	15,480	602	BLD	BLD	8000
4	7	37,500	7720	BLD	BLD	BLD	1060
5	1	3640	BLQ	BLQ	BLQ	BLD	BLD
5	2	4920	BLQ	BLQ	BLD	BLD	352
5	3	17,000	851	BLQ	BLD	BLD	87.3
6	1	78,100	10,300	374,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
6	2	286,000	19,900	367,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
6	3	64,400	11,400	532,000	BLQ	BLD	BLD
7	1	69,900	8760	145,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
7	2	111,000	10,400	78,800	BLQ	BLD	BLD
7	3	54,400	6360	355,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
7	4	20,900	2450	88,600	BLD	BLD	BLD
7	5	18,100	2740	460,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
7	6	11,850	BLD	94,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
7	7	6760	1250	427,000	BLD	BLD	BLD
8	1	6990	BLQ	BLD	BLD	51,800	BLD
8	2	1890	BLD	BLD	BLD	9140	BLD
8	3	1940	BLD	BLD	BLD	85,300	BLD
8	4	1830	BLD	BLD	BLD	3610	1110
8	5	5760	BLD	BLD	BLD	21,900	BLD
8	6	7490	BLQ	BLD	BLD	35,500	BLD
8	7	BLD	BLD	BLD	BLD	10,100	BLD
8	8	BLQ	BLD	BLD	BLD	3110	BLD
8	9	BLQ	BLD	BLD	BLD	1310	79.2
8	10	460	BLD	BLD	BLD	1200	91.4

BLD = below the level of detection; BLQ = below the level of quantification.

concentration. In addition, after one month of storage at <70  $^{\circ}$ C, all compounds were within 15% of controls.

# 3.6. Analysis of patient samples

We evaluated the applicability of the described method by analyzing sparse plasma samples collected from premature infants (<32 weeks gestational age at birth, N=8) given multiple intravenous doses of piperacillin–tazobactam (Table 6). Piperacillin and tazobactam were found in almost all patient samples. The mean (SD) estimated gestational age at birth, postnatal age, and birth weight was 25 (2.7) weeks, 7 (7) days, 728 (185) grams, respectively. The overall mean (SD) piperacillin concentration was 41,123 (53,789) ng/mL; the mean (SD) piperacillin concentration in infants < and  $\geq$ 28 weeks postmenstrual age were 45,159 (58,686, n = 6) and 24,310 (20,186, n = 2) ng/mL, respectively. In addition to piperacillin and tazobactam, ampicillin was found in five patients, metronidazole in three patients, and acyclovir in one patient. Meropenem was not found in any of the samples. Dosing of these agents could be confirmed in 7/8 patients.

# 4. Discussion

The method described in this report measures drug concentration of six antimicrobials accurately and precisely in micro-volumes ( $50 \mu$ L) of plasma. Previous investigators have developed analytical methods to measure drug concentrations of antimicrobials in low plasma volumes; however, most involve antimicrobials of the same drug class and no antivirals [4]. The ability to simultaneously measure drug concentrations of antimicrobials from different classes, including antivirals, is an important milestone in evaluating drug disposition in premature infants. The latter is often challenging due to blood volume limitations in this population; therefore, drug concentration information obtained from each sample should be maximized. This can be accomplished with this assay as premature infants are often treated with several antimicrobials simultaneously. The latter is evidenced in the patient samples tested, as acyclovir and metronidazole were found in some of the infants treated with piperacillin-tazobactam. A high degree of variability and a trend towards decreasing piperacillin concentrations was observed with increasing postmenstrual age. Even though it is not possible to perform formal statistical inferences to assess significance of piperacillin concentration differences between postmenstrual age groups, it is likely that these differences result from maturational changes characteristic of the developing infant. These developmental changes are often related to improvement in renal function that leads to increased piperacillin clearance. This observation has been demonstrated in previous studies evaluating the PK of piperacillin in more mature premature infants [9]. A formal population PK analysis is underway to quantify and identify sources of variability in piperacillin concentrations in this population.

In addition to the advantages offered by micro-volumes and multiplex methodologies in premature infant studies, dried blood spot (DBS) sampling is becoming an attractive technology for use in this population. This technology requires minimum amounts of whole blood per sample (<30  $\mu$ L), virtually no sample processing, and convenient room temperature storage. Even though several bioanalytical assays have been developed using DBS technology, only one clinical study has evaluated the use of DBS in premature infants [10]. The utility of this technology across therapeutics in this population remains to be determined.

The extraction process (protein precipitation) used for this method has been previously described for beta-lactam antibiotics [4]. In the present method, this simple and straightforward extraction process provided excellent recovery (>90%) for most compounds. It is possible that the compounds with the lowest extraction efficiency (77–83%), meropenem and acyclovir, remained trapped in the protein precipitate or did not completely dissolve into the organic phase. In spite of this limitation, the extraction procedure used in this method provides a high level of efficiency in sample preparation, as well as high throughput processing of patient samples.

Although clinical plasma samples >50  $\mu$ L from premature infants can be obtained, it is not without difficulty. This assay was designed to use sample volumes as low as 5  $\mu$ L, which holds a number of advantages. Samples requiring repeat analysis can be diluted (1:1, 1:3, or 1:9) with blank plasma and extracted. This is particularly advantageous for concentrations >ULOQ that need to be diluted back into the curve. Samples known to contain beta-lactams (tazobactam, piperacillin, meropenem) should be handled carefully given the lack of stability of these compounds at room temperature. Freeze-thaw cycles, however, did not affect the stability of any of the compounds, which allows for retesting of samples if needed.

# 5. Conclusion

We successfully developed a method to simultaneously assay ampicillin, piperacillin, tazobactam, meropenem, acyclovir, and metronidazole in human plasma. The assay has been validated with respect to accuracy, precision, limit of detection, recovery, and stability (except as noted). The assay has been successfully applied to clinical samples from premature infants. This method has many clinical applications within the field of pediatrics, as it is simple, highly sensitive and specific, and requires an micro-volumes of sample.

# Acknowledgements

The authors received funding from the following:

Michael Cohen-Wolkowiez receives support from NICHD 1K23HD064814-01, and from the non-profit organization Thrasher Research Foundation.

Nicole R. White receives support from the U.S. government for work in clinical pharmacology (P30AI37260).

Arlene Bridges receives support from the U.S. government for her work in developing analytical methods for novel nanoparticle based therapies (1-54-CA151652-01, Carolina Center of Cancer Nanotechnology Excellence, DeSimone, PI).

Daniel K. Benjamin Jr. receives support from the U.S. government for his work in pediatric and neonatal clinical pharmacology (1R01HD057956-02, 1R01FD003519-01, 1U10-HD45962-06, 1K24HD058735-01, and Government Contract HHSN267200700051C), the nonprofit organization Thrasher Research Foundation for his work in neonatal candidiasis, and from industry for neonatal and pediatric drug development (http://www.dcri.duke.edu/research/coi.jsp).

Angela D.M. Kashuba receives support from the U.S. government for work in clinical pharmacology (R34AI087065, P30AI37260), from industry for clinical pharmacology (Pfizer, Gilead, Abbott, Tibotec, and Merck), and from the North Carolina Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute.

No funding body participated in the study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

# References

- [1] B.J. Stoll, N. Hansen, A.A. Fanaroff, L.L. Wright, W.A. Carlo, R.A. Ehrenkranz, J.A. Lemons, E.F. Donovan, A.R. Stark, J.E. Tyson, W. Oh, C.R. Bauer, S.B. Korones, S. Shankaran, A.R. Laptook, D.K. Stevenson, L.A. Papile, W.K. Poole, Pediatrics 110 (2002) 285.
- [2] B.J. Stoll, N.I. Hansen, R.D. Higgins, A.A. Fanaroff, S. Duara, R. Goldberg, A. Laptook, M. Walsh, W. Oh, E. Hale, Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 24 (2005) 635.
- [3] R.H. Clark, B.T. Bloom, A.R. Spitzer, D.R. Gerstmann, Pediatrics 117 (2006) 1979.
- [4] M.J. Ahsman, E.D. Wildschut, D. Tibboel, R.A. Mathot, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother, 53 (2009) 75.
- [5] B.H. Jung, N.L. Rezk, A.S. Bridges, A.H. Corbett, A.D. Kashuba, Biomed. Chromatogr. 21 (2007) 1095.
- [6] R. Denooz, C. Charlier, J. Chromatogr. B: Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 864 (2008) 161.
- [7] D.E. Holt, J. de Louvois, R. Hurley, D. Harvey, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 26 (1990) 107.
- [8] V.P. Shah, K.K. Midha, J.W. Findlay, H.M. Hill, J.D. Hulse, I.J. McGilveray, G. McKay, K.J. Miller, R.N. Patnaik, M.L. Powell, A. Tonelli, C.T. Viswanathan, A. Yacobi, Pharm. Res. 17 (2000) 1551.
- [9] N. Kacet, M. Roussel-Delvallez, C. Gremillet, J.P. Dubos, L. Storme, P. Lequien, Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 11 (1992) 365.
- [10] M. Suyagh, P.S. Collier, J.S. Millership, G. Iheagwaram, M. Millar, H.L. Halliday, J.C. McElnay, Pediatrics 127 (2011) e367.